u# 5 T "Uhhhhh we 11 1 1 "D
 OBE' RT HE LIZARD KING-HP 1 1
f? On * Sir n Nar 18 , 1 990 6' SO AN
 Lr : Ned up Y 1 1 , 1990 B - 1 9 PN0
 — 28 Responses Tot.a 1 -

00, Look out side, the sun's comine °p and all sorts of miYaculous shit like that. ... And what s this... no mOYe bottels of beeY on the wall...THUD.

Naw, not me I don't go in fOY that unYuly sOYt of behaviOY.tee hee So, uh, well look I'm postine something, isnt that special? Thank God (OY some such benevolent being) I have know idea what sub boaYd I'm on, but memories of contests, Eddy Murphy, and cYiticism pervade. In that order I lost, he's great, and I do. Any moYe questions? There's one thing I must note befoYe signing off is it just me or does the term "per sey" make every one sick7 A buddy of mine at NM State said "... well you know, its got its place conceptually speaking." Conceptually speakin???'! Is any body out ther hip to this particulaY sort of diseased The dude is bound to be a Philosophy maJoY eh? Well, I guess I deserve some credits for all that huh7

Would any body out theYe like a job? Here's the descript: Once <code>eveYx</code> otheY day oT so, leave me a message, could be on any topic, ie animal rights, gun control, aboYtion, religion, Mother Goose, drugs, polotics, music etc. You pick a side and we argue it out to the death. Right now I'm especially hlp to religion. I don't know why, but I 've this over poweY ine <code>uYge</code> to corrupt all I once held dear . I know it sounds sortm sict, but I love to play Devil 's advocate. The requirements aYe easy don't get mad, prove me wrong. (what pomposity) Hey, I <code>suYe</code> am glad Mo <code>off</code> re all out there and I'm not.

A TYUE Believer
The LizaTd Kins

R— Response It 1 — / B/: F L AMING ARRON— HT7 Onr Sun Is, 1990 12:54 PM

Ok, so that all really made a hell of alot of sence... whatever. Ok, let's see, I am up for a debate, But not on religion, I don't believe in any of that shit. It can't be proven but If it could, I challenge you to prove it, and don't be coming up with any of this shit saying, "well, how did you eet onto this earth and all of the people b0foYe you?" that's a bullshit way to try to prove something to me, I wants some haYd core evidence or something like that.... My beliefs aYe that I am the greater power and I feel that to you, you should feel like you are the greateY power. that's simple enough, donchathink?

FA

R— Resp onse tt 2 —

f Bx: *THE LIZARD KING-MJ1l
On: Mon Mar 19, 1990 7:31

Ah, 'tis Flaming ArYw who ls "up foY debate", f=irst, is the handle ome sort of statements(ie creator of vast allc:ming flames,or a referenc to the futility of it 11 the lone arrow missng its target and smolderig away for naught)? Sorta intruiging in a tYivil way.

Nell no matter thanks for the Yeplr though it was not the end of the

aYeument I was after,I will certainly try to o a noble Job of defening the omnipotent being. n all fairness we shoul first define the beastand move on

omniscient, and omniYesen: fellow. I'm uYe theYe's no meritflo9Sle5simple argument you war me against (... "well ow did you get on this eYth...") since I've some limied undeYstanding of howthe sexual act producedme, and after a time my ownkids. No, oh Flamming on,

give me some inklingof credit.

On that note w may beein. As you knw sex can often be te beginning of a new life, and thatlife must eventually en in death. Right? So, this in mind we mar say...1. Ever event has some cause. nd this being tyue we ax furthey surmise,

2. A sries of cause/effectscan not extend infinitey into the past. If the first wo pyemisses hold true culdn't we take it to th next logical step and ad 3. Nothing can be its wn cause. What all ofthis inevitably leads uP to i... 4. Theye must be aFirst cause. This siple argument allows the plausability of the Big Base Therr and Daywin's Theory f Evolution. The first cause is f course God. You may ormay not accept it, but here's a danm good aTgument fy the4.M6kof God.

If you simply can not accept it, les try a different angle Consider all The text above this pragyaph. Now, think of monkey sitting in frontof a key boayd, banging awy on the keys.FOY the sae of argument, this paticular monkey is immoYtal. k? Now, what are the odd of that monkey poundin out althat text exactly asyou see it now (almost gammaticaly correct)? Les face it, the odds are sooo mnute, that we could Jstifxably say it 's imossible. Simply impossible. Now tha we have a mutual referece point. ConsideT theEaYtjl. If the EaTth wee any oloseY oY faTtheYfrom the Sun, life coul not exist. If this isn't enough fY yOu, what about chemicls7 2. ConcentYations f gasses and chemicals on the[aYth are perfect for prducing/sustaining life The odds of this sort of perection make ouT friend te Monkey's plight seemeasy. Please consider furher 3. The inteYactionof just the Yight amont, of just the right chemicals to prduce/ maintain life. (ie NA) NOw suYelr you mus see the odds of these random ccurences are bx no strech of the imaginatio comparable. The Monkey's task i a virtual cake walk in omparison. Allow me toput forth the Proposition that Only a God, Could set P conditions so perfetlx, and precisely. Again Darinian Theory5%sMn tact. and is perhapseven necissary.

Now, don't getme wrong. I can dig yourgYeater poweY belief. M own is guite a bit the same.But to wYite these argumnts off, just because ter don't comfort you or serve our purposes, is utterly oronic. FurthermoYe, bfoYe you tell me "...to you, ou should be a gTeateY pwer..." you better thin out "...all that shit.." a bit more fully. Again, I CAN'T STRES ENOUGH that I AM NO HOLY ROLER TYPE. I am thouroghlxconvinced that... Friedrich Nietzsche as justified in his infamus line, "God is dead."Not simply because it iseasy, nor comfortable: n, instead I subscribe tothe notion because it is ogical, and (in a wax) elf evident. Nietzschedoesn't mentally jer k us offwith the question of Gods existance. Ne simplystates the obvious... It Teall doesn't matteY if God does/or ever did exis, because right now We (societis) do not act as if he/i did. Consider Religiou Mars, on the whole they ae in blatant contridicton with that which theyespouse. On a smaller scale tink of the Moral Majoriy: probably the most imo<al pack of dogs around. and hat of "The Rumble Alley, also in direct contTidction with the supPosed 'wYd of God". The bottem ine is PLAY IT LIKE YO FEEL IT!! Sorry this is soooo ong, but YOU didn't wanta simPle argument.

The DesktopPhilosopheY
The LizardKing

R — Response #3 — / B/ ' FLAHI NG ARRON-HJ' On- Non Nar 19 , 1990 4- 27 PH

Well to start things off, IT REALLY looks like a monkey pounded that into the keyboa) d.... I really fee that there is *rNO** arguments against **MY** beliefs... MINE AR[MINE, and YOURS ARE YOURS... Simply enough if that is how you want it,

Simplely put.... anxways I didn't want to take the tiem to read all of that shit... too damn long awd I didn't want to run out of time. hehe.... but I did scan it rather thuroughly and to put

Thank you for using www.freepdfconvert.com service!

Only two pages are converted. Please Sign Up to convert all pages.

https://www.freepdfconvert.com/membership